

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

-----X
FERMIN JULIO CIFUENTES MONZON, individually and on
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

**COLLECTIVE ACTION
COMPLAINT**

-against-

INSPECTIONALERT.COM INC. d/b/a INSPECTION ALERT
MANAGEMENT and ISRAEL RODITI as an individual,

JURY TRIAL
REQUESTED

Defendants,

-----X

1. Plaintiff, **FERMIN JULIO CIFUENTES MONZON**, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated (hereinafter referred to as “Plaintiff”), by their attorneys at Helen F. Dalton & Associates, P.C., alleges, upon personal knowledge as to himself and upon information and belief as to other matters, as follows:

PRELIMINARY STATEMENT

2. Plaintiff, **FERMIN JULIO CIFUENTES MONZON**, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated, through undersigned counsel, bring this action against **INSPECTIONALERT.COM INC. d/b/a INSPECTION ALERT MANAGEMENT and ISRAEL RODITI as an individual**, (collectively hereinafter, “Defendants”) to recover damages for Defendants’ egregious violations of state and federal wage and hour laws arising out of Plaintiff’s employment with the Defendants at **INSPECTIONALERT.COM INC.**, located at 141 Main Street, East Rockaway, NY, 11518.
3. As a result of the violations of Federal and New York State labor laws delineated below, Plaintiff seeks compensatory damages and liquidated damages in an amount exceeding \$100,000.00. Plaintiff also seeks interest, attorneys’ fees, costs, and all other legal and equitable remedies this Court deems appropriate.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

4. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over Plaintiff's federal claims pursuant to the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. §216 and 28 U.S.C. §1331.
5. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over Plaintiff's other state law claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367.
6. Venue is proper in the EASTERN District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims herein occurred in this judicial district.
7. This Court is empowered to issue a declaratory judgment pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§2201 & 2202.

THE PARTIES

8. Plaintiff FERMIN JULIO CIFUENTES MONZON residing in Inwood, NY 11096 was employed by Defendants from in or around June 2014 until in or around February 2022.
9. Defendant, INSPECTIONALERT.COM INC. is a New York domestic business corporation, organized under the laws of the State of New York with a principal executive office located at 141 Main Street, East Rockaway, NY, 11518.
10. Defendant, INSPECTIONALERT.COM INC., is a corporation duly authorized to do business under the laws of the State of New York.
11. Defendant ISRAEL RODITI, known as "Izzy" to Plaintiff, is the owner of corporate Defendant, INSPECTIONALERT.COM INC.
12. ISRAEL RODITI is an agent of INSPECTIONALERT.COM INC.
13. ISRAEL RODITI is responsible for overseeing all daily operations of the Defendant's business at INSPECTIONALERT.COM INC.
14. ISRAEL RODITI has power and authority over all the final personnel decisions of INSPECTIONALERT.COM INC.
15. ISRAEL RODITI has the power and authority over all final payroll decisions of INSPECTIONALERT.COM INC. including the Plaintiff.
16. ISRAEL RODITI has the exclusive final power to hire the employees of INSPECTIONALERT.COM INC., including the Plaintiff.

17. ISRAEL RODITI has exclusive final power over the firing and terminating of the employees of INSPECTIONALERT.COM INC., including Plaintiff.
18. ISRAEL RODITI is responsible for determining, establishing, and paying the wages of all employees of INSPECTIONALERT.COM INC., including the Plaintiff, setting their work schedules, and maintaining all their employment records of the business.
19. Accordingly, at all relevant times hereto, Defendant ISRAEL RODITI was Plaintiff's employer within the meaning and the intent of the FLSA, and the NYLL.
20. At all times relevant to the allegations contained in the complaint, ISRAEL RODITI was, and is, an enterprise engaged in interstate commerce within the meaning of the FLSA in that INSPECTIONALERT.COM INC., (i) has purchased goods, tools, and supplies for its business through the streams and channels of interstate commerce, and has had employees engaged in interstate commerce, and/or in the production of goods intended for commerce, and handle, sell and otherwise work with goods and material that have been moved in or produced for commerce by any person: and (ii) has had annual gross volume of sales of not less than \$500,000.00.

RELEVANT STATUTORY PERIOD

21. At the time of the filing of this complaint, the relevant statutory period pursuant to the NYLL and FLSA is from in or around March 2016 through the present ("Relevant Statutory Period").

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

22. Plaintiff FERMIN JULIO CIFUENTES MONZON was employed by Defendants at INSPECTIONALERT.COM INC., as a construction worker and remodeler while also performing related miscellaneous duties for the Defendants, from in or around June 2014 until in or around February 2022.
23. During the relevant statutory period, Plaintiff alleges he regularly worked six (6) days per week.
24. During the relevant statutory period, Plaintiff alleges he regularly worked seven (7) days per week once a month.

25. During the relevant statutory period, Plaintiff regularly worked a schedule of shifts beginning at or around 8:00 a.m. until at or around 5:00 p.m. or later each workday from in or around March 2016 until in or around July 2021.
26. Further, Plaintiff regularly worked until at or around 4:00 p.m. each workday from in or around August 2021 until in or around February 2022.
27. During the relevant statutory period, Plaintiff was regularly required to stay later an extra four (4) hours or more 2 weeks out of each month during his employment with the defendants.
28. Thus, during the relevant statutory period, Plaintiff was regularly required to work approximately fifty-four (54) to fifty-eight (58) hours per week during his employment by the Defendants from in or around March 2016 until in or around July 2021 and approximately sixty-three (63) hours per week during this period when required to work Sundays approximately once a month.
29. Additionally, Plaintiff was regularly required to work approximately forty-eight (48) to fifty-two (52) hours per work from in or around August 2021 until in or around February 2022 and approximately fifty-four (54) hours per week during this period when required to work Sundays approximately once a month.
30. During the relevant statutory period, Plaintiff was paid by Defendants a flat weekly salary of approximately;
 - (i) \$900.00 per week for all hours worked from in around March 2016 until in or around December 2017;
 - (ii) \$1,000.00 per week for all hours worked from in around January 2018 until in or around December 2019; and
 - (iii) \$1,300.00 per week for all hours worked from in around January 2020 until in around February 2022.
31. Although Plaintiff regularly worked in excess of 40 hours per work week, including up to sixty-three (63) hours per week, during the relevant statutory period, the Defendants did not pay Plaintiff at a wage rate of time and a half (1.5) for his hours regularly worked over forty (40) hours in a work week, a blatant violation of the overtime provisions contained in the FLSA and NYLL.

32. Defendants willfully failed to post notices of the minimum wage and overtime wage requirements in a conspicuous place at the location of their employment as required by both the NYLL and the FLSA.
33. Furthermore, Defendants willfully failed to keep payroll records as required by both NYLL and the FLSA.
34. Additionally, Defendants willfully failed to provide Plaintiff with a written notice, in English, of her applicable regular rate of pay, regular pay day, and all such information as required by NYLL §195(1).
35. Defendants willfully failed to provide Plaintiff with any wage statements, upon each payment of his wages, as required by NYLL §195(3).
36. As a result of these violations of Federal and New York State labor laws, Plaintiff seeks compensatory damages and liquidated damages in an amount exceeding \$100,000.00. Plaintiff also seeks statutory interest, attorneys' fees, costs, and all other legal and equitable remedies this Court deems appropriate.

COLLECTIVE ACTION ALLEGATIONS

37. Plaintiff brings this action on behalf of herself, and other employees similarly situated as authorized under the FLSA, 29 U.S.C. § 216(b). The employees similarly situated are hereafter, the "Collective Class."
38. Collective Class: All persons who are or have been employed by the Defendants as construction workers, remodelers or any other similarly titled personnel with substantially similar job requirements and pay provisions, who were performing the same sort of functions for Defendants, other than the executive and management positions, who have been subject to Defendants' common practices, policies, programs, procedures, protocols and plans including willfully failing and refusing to pay required overtime wages.
39. Upon information and belief, Defendants employed approximately 10 to 15 employees during the relevant statutory period who Defendants subject(ed) to similar payment structures that violate applicable law.
40. Defendants suffered and permitted Plaintiff - and the Collective Class - to regularly work more than forty hours per week without appropriate overtime compensation.

41. Defendants' unlawful conduct herein has been widespread, repeated, and consistent.
42. Defendants had knowledge that the Plaintiff and the Collective Class regularly performed work requiring overtime pay.
43. Defendants' conduct as set forth in this Complaint, was willful and in bad faith - and has caused significant damages to Plaintiff, as well as the Collective Class.
44. Defendants are liable under the FLSA for failing to properly compensate Plaintiff, and the Collective Class, and as such, notice should be sent to the Collective Class. There are numerous similarly situated current and former employees of Defendants who have been denied overtime pay and proper minimum wage pay in violation of the FLSA and NYLL, who would benefit from the issuance of a Court-supervised notice of the present lawsuit, and the opportunity to join the present lawsuit. Those similarly situated employees are known to Defendants and are readily identifiable through Defendants' records.
45. The questions of law and fact common to the putative class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members.
46. The claims of Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the whole putative class.
47. Plaintiff and their counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the putative class.
48. A collective action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

Overtime Wages Under The Fair Labor Standards Act

49. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
50. Plaintiff has consented in writing to be a party to this action, pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216(b) *et seq.*
51. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff was engaged in interstate commerce and/or was engaged in the production of goods for/through the channels of interstate commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) and 207(a), *et seq.*

52. At all times relevant to this action, Defendants were employers engaged in commerce or the production of goods for commerce within the meaning of 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) and 207(a).
53. Defendants willfully failed to pay Plaintiff's overtime wages for all hours regularly worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week at a wage rate of one and a half (1.5) times the regular wage, to which Plaintiff was entitled under 29 U.S.C. §§206(a) in violation of 29 U.S.C. §207(a)(1).
54. Defendants' violations of the FLSA as described in this Complaint have been willful and intentional. Defendants have not made any good faith effort to comply with their obligations under the FLSA with respect to the compensation of the Plaintiff.
55. Due to Defendants' FLSA violations, Plaintiff is entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, his unpaid wages and an equal amount in the form of liquidated damages, as well as reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action, including interest, pursuant to the FLSA, specifically 29 U.S.C. §216(b).

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

Overtime Wages Under New York Labor Law

56. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
57. At all times relevant to this action, Plaintiff was employed by Defendants within the meaning of New York Labor Law §§2 and 651.
58. Defendants failed to pay Plaintiffs' overtime wages for hours worked in excess of forty hours per week at a wage rate of one and a half (1.5) times the regular wage to which Plaintiffs were entitled under New York Labor Law §652, in violation of 12 N.Y.C.R.R. 137-1.3, *et seq.*
59. Due to Defendants' New York Labor Law violations, Plaintiffs are entitled to recover from Defendants, jointly and severally, their unpaid overtime wages and an amount equal to their unpaid overtime wages in the form of liquidated damages, as well as reasonable attorneys' fees and costs of the action, including interest in accordance with NY Labor Law §198(1-a).

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of the Notice and Recordkeeping Requirements of the New York Labor Law

60. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
61. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff with a written notice, in English, and in Spanish (Plaintiff's primary language), of his applicable regular rate of pay, regular pay day, and all such other information as required by NYLL §195(1).
62. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff in the amount of \$5,000.00 together with costs and attorneys' fees.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

Violation of the Wage Statement Requirements of the New York Labor Law

63. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all allegations in all preceding paragraphs.
64. Defendants failed to provide Plaintiff with wage statements, upon each payment of his wages, as required by NYLL §195(3)
65. Defendants are liable to Plaintiff in the amount of \$5,000.00 together with costs and attorneys' fees.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully request that judgment be granted:

- a. Declaring Defendants' conduct complained herein to be in violation of the Plaintiff's rights under the FLSA, the New York Labor Law, and its regulations;
- b. Awarding Plaintiff's unpaid overtime wages;
- c. Awarding Plaintiff liquidated damages pursuant to 29 U.S.C. §216 and New York Labor Law §§198(1-a), 663(1);
- d. Awarding Plaintiff prejudgment and post-judgment interest;
- e. Awarding Plaintiff the costs of this action together with reasonable attorneys' fees; together with such further relief as this court deems necessary and proper.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury on all questions of fact raised by the complaint.

Dated: March 17, 2022
Kew Gardens, NY

Roman Avshalumov
Roman Avshalumov (RA 5508)
Helen F. Dalton & Associates, P.C.
80-02 Kew Gardens Road, Suite 601
Kew Gardens, New York 11415
Telephone: 718-263-9591

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

FERMIN JULIO CIFUENTES MONZON, individually and on
behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

INSPECTIONALERT.COM INC. d/b/a INSPECTION ALERT MANAGEMENT and ISRAEL
RODITI as an individual,

Defendants,

COLLECTIVE ACTION COMPLAINT

Jury Trial Demanded

HELEN F. DALTON & ASSOCIATES, P.C.

Attorneys for the Plaintiff

80-02 Kew Gardens Road

Suite 601

Kew Gardens, New York 11415

Phone: (718) 263-9591

Fax: (718) 263-9598

To:

INSPECTIONALERT.COM INC.

141 Main Street

East Rockaway, NY, 11518

ISRAEL RODITI

141 Main Street

East Rockaway, NY, 11518